

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 71997

**Title:** Primary extraskeletal Ewing's sarcoma of the lumbar nerve root: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03477988 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Research Associate

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-30

Reviewer chosen by: Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-21 21:10

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-21 21:14

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Strong and diffuse S100 expression in Ewing sarcoma is very rare I strongly suggest to provide results of the molecular analysis



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 71997

Title: Primary extraskeletal Ewing's sarcoma of the lumbar nerve root: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04168227 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: BPhty, DPhil, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-30

Reviewer chosen by: Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-22 09:31

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-23 05:43

Review time: 20 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for giving me this opportunity to review this article. The article is well written, though I have some serious concerns regarding the article. Abstract: 1. The article consists of several incomplete sentences and lack of fluency in the language. 2.

Need to inform about the patient's demographic details. 3. The conclusion should be more concise, self-explanatory, and drawn on the basis of study reports. Manuscript 1.

The case report should follow these guidelines https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2597880/ 2. Follow the journal author guidelines and resubmit.



## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 71997

Title: Primary extraskeletal Ewing's sarcoma of the lumbar nerve root: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04168227 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: BPhty, DPhil, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-30

**Reviewer chosen by:** Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-21 06:36

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-10 02:14

**Review time:** 19 Days and 19 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No



# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article can be accepted in the present format.