

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 72998

Title: Blunt aortic injury – Traumatic aortic isthmus pseudoaneurysm with right iliac

artery dissection aneurysm: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05908908

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Senior Lecturer, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-06

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-05 14:37

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-10 15:15

Review time: 5 Days

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A rare case of trauma with high mortality is when doctors are unaware of the underlying symptom in the patient. Excellent work and excellent results on that patient. 1. Please make the introduction and conclusion in the abstract concise 2. Please describe in detail the mechanism of the motor vehicle collision that occurs in this patient to ensure the acceleration and deceleration mechanism which is the cause of the aortic pseudoaneurysm in this patient. 3. Did the patient have a ct scan for the thoracoabdominal area at the time of the accident 3 months ago? This is a screening for trauma patients, especially patients with small bowel injuries and pelvic trauma. 4. Please be consistent whether the patient follow-up that the author did for 2 or 4 years? There is a difference in the statement in "OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP" and the last sentence in "DISCUSSION".



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 72998

**Title:** Blunt aortic injury – Traumatic aortic isthmus pseudoaneurysm with right iliac artery dissection aneurysm: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02623966

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Attending Doctor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Greece

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-06

Reviewer chosen by: Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-28 08:50

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-28 08:51

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous [Y] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an interesting manuscript. Authors succeed to present their data in a clear way adding information to the existing literature. Therefore, I have no corrections to do and the manuscript can be published unaltered.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 72998

**Title:** Blunt aortic injury – Traumatic aortic isthmus pseudoaneurysm with right iliac artery dissection aneurysm: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06116605

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: MBBS, MD

**Professional title:** Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-06

Reviewer chosen by: Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-28 11:38

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-28 16:30

Review time: 4 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Broad pertinent approach for best professional advancements