

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 74305

Title: Knot impingement after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair mimicking infection: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06107136

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2021-12-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-22 18:29

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-22 20:28

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Title. - reflects the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript. 2 Abstract. Summarizes and reflects the work described in the manuscript. Line 8 - should be confused with infection. 3 Key words. The key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. 4 Background. The manuscript adequately describes the background, present status and significance of the report. Line 47: Etc. should not be used in this context. Line 57: should be confused with infection 5 and 6 - Case discussion - Adequate in description. Lines 71-72: How many knots were tied? Only medial row? Was a subacromial decompression done? Lines 79-80: What was the AROM postoperatively but before this current episode? Lines 89-90: Was there thought of aspirating the glenohumeral joint? Line 110: What was the reason for inflammatory tissues with the glenohumeral joint when it appears the problem was confined to the subacromial space? 7 Discussion. The manuscript interprets the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically. The findings and their applicability/relevance to the literature stated in a clear and definite manner. The discussion is accurate and discusses the paper's scientific significance and/or relevance to clinical practice sufficiently. 8 Illustrations and tables. adequate legend - the figures are not viewable. 9 Biostatistics. N/AIs t 10 Units. N/A 11 References. adequate in number and quality 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. The manuscript is well, concisely and coherently organized and presented. The style, language and grammar is accurate and appropriate unless otherwise noted. 13 Research methods and reporting. Authors



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

should have prepared their manuscripts according to manuscript type and the appropriate categories, as follows: (1) CARE Checklist (2013) - Case report; (2) CONSORT 2010 Statement - Clinical Trials study, Prospective study, Randomized Controlled trial, Randomized Clinical trial; (3) PRISMA 2009 Checklist - Evidence-Based Medicine, Systematic review, Meta-Analysis; (4) STROBE Statement - Case Control study, Observational study, Retrospective Cohort study; and (5) The ARRIVE Guidelines - Basic study. The authors prepared the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting. 14 Ethics statements. For all manuscripts involving human studies and/or animal experiments, author(s) must submit the related formal ethics documents that were reviewed and approved by their local ethical review committee. The manuscript met the requirements of ethics.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 74305

Title: Knot impingement after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair mimicking infection: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03442364

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2021-12-22

Reviewer chosen by: Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-30 09:05

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-30 09:08

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

**Peer-reviewer
statements**

Peer-Review: [**Y**] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [**Y**] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting and meaningful study, and I recommend accept.