

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 73147

Title: Massive hemothorax following internal jugular vein catheterization under

ultrasound guidance: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03107200 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-13 13:36

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-15 02:46

Review time: 1 Day and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [<mark>Y</mark>] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The reviewer cannot recognize any clinical point. The reviewer also does not find out any educational value in the images.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 73147

Title: Massive hemothorax following internal jugular vein catheterization under

ultrasound guidance: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00159379

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania
Author's Country/Territory: South Korea
Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-13 09:17

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-01 00:59

Review time: 17 Days and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have read with interest the case report. It is well documented both the case presentation and discussions. However in my opinion the case consist of series of several improper procedures and judgments. 1) the use of US guidance in short axis only for vein puncturing and not for guidewire insertion and proper location as also for dilators and catether insertion. After the first unsuccesul IJV catheterisation the patinets should have been followed up for some time clinically and with US for possible complications. 2) the surgical procedure was carried on for 3 hours despite the hypotension and need for norepinephrine without any attempt to diagnose the cause. An US examination or xRay should have been performed during surgery. Suggestions for authors 1) the authors should describe mor in details the medical judgment for continuing the surgery despite the occurence of hypotension