

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 73971

Title: Pulp revascularization on an adult mandibular right second premolar: a case

report with a three-year follow-up

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05293115

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-12-13

Reviewer chosen by: Qi-Gu Yao (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-18 07:46

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-09 09:01

Review time: 22 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is very interesting, however, before acceptance, authors should discuss in a more accurate way the state of the art about stem cells applicatin in dentistry. Indeed, they should cite the following papers: - PubMed ID32188154 - PubMed ID32811413 - PubMed ID33386051 Moreover they should emphasize the potential role of chlorexidine in managing stem cell implantation procedures. Please cite DOI10.23805/JO.2019.12.01.20



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 73971

Title: Pulp revascularization on an adult mandibular right second premolar: a case

report with a three-year follow-up

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05685534

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Chairman, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-12-13

Reviewer chosen by: Qi-Gu Yao (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-11 11:06

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-20 15:34

Review time: 9 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[] Yes [<mark>Y</mark>] No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

method of pulp revascularization need to be mentioned in the title it is not clear what is the reason for incomplete root formation in a 26 years old patient to follow up case radiographically it is much more reliable to use CBCT line 174 please add reference page 177 it is much more accurate to say we have inadequate evidence's instead of no evidence's page 180 reference required it is not clear in the discussion section the role of cefaclor antibiotic, bacteriologically what is the mean reason of its use IROOTBP role is not clear in the healing process suggested scaffolds and its forms alo need to be clear