

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 73925

Title: Effect of cold snare polypectomy for small colorectal polyps

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03002584

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-24

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-01 06:23

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-04 20:34

Review time: 3 Days and 14 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Firstly, I really appreciate the authors of the article titled: effect of cold snare polypectomy for small colorectal polyps; This manuscript does an excellent texture revealing significant differences between two accepted methods of polypectomy for small colon polyps among gastroenterologists around the world although the acceptance of hot snare polypectomy is more popular. This survey is a good reminder for all gastroenterologists to pay attention to the safety and power of cold snare polypectomy in comparison with the hot snare polypectomy. So, it seems that a title demonstrating difference between two methods of polypectomy would be more clarifying the content of study. The thing that I liked about the manuscript is the basic characteristics of two groups which were randomized without any statistical significant difference between two groups of study, the key words and summary which clarify the questions raised about the article. In this review I try to highlight some issues that might have been overlooked when the authors wrote the article: The type of randomization has not clearly been explained if it was simple, block or stratified block randomization? The indications of titanium clip insertion which have significantly been used for hot snare polypectomies in contrast to cold snare polypectomies in the present study have not been mentioned... The expertise of gastroenterologists and the number of gastroenterologists in this study have not been mentioned. Since we know that the expertise of gastroenterologists would affect the final results of polypectomies, adverse events related to polypectomies and success rate of polypectomies.... The figures have not been coded by letters which are seen in their figure legends.... It is expected that abbreviations in the tables be explained at the end of the table when they have been coded by an asterisk (*)

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 73925

Title: Effect of cold snare polypectomy for small colorectal polyps

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05524117

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-24

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-10 08:37

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-21 09:58

Review time: 11 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The vast majority of intestinal polyps can be found during colonoscopy and removed endoscopically. Therefore, more and more attention has been paid to the development of endoscopic resection of intestinal polyps. Hot snare polypectomy is a popular method, it has been used for many years and has a positive therapeutic effect. The principle of hot snare polypectomy is the use a high-frequency current to generate a large amount of localized heat. This study was designed to investigate the efficacy and safety of cold snare polypectomy and hot snare polypectomy for colorectal polyps. The study is very well designed and the manuscript is well written. The references should be edited according to the journal's guideline. No other comments.