

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 70408

**Title:** Sustained dialysis with misplaced peritoneal dialysis catheter outside peritoneum:

a case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00160258 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-02 12:20

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-02 15:05

**Review time:** 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewerPeer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] OnymousstatementsConflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

Connects of interest. [ ] 165 [ 1] 100

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Good manuscript. Few spelling and grammar errors, rest is fine



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 70408

**Title:** Sustained dialysis with misplaced peritoneal dialysis catheter outside peritoneum:

a case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03939508 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-03 14:10

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-04 14:16

**Review time:** 1 Day

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com **https:**//www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This report describes an interesting case of sub-dialysis due to malposition of the Regarding the title, I don't really think we could say a peritoneal catheter tip. misplaced peritoneal dialysis catheter. That's because over the years there could have been a spontaneous trans peritoneal extrusion. This phenomenon is more frequently described in the ventriculo-peritoneal shunt tips. So I think the authors should discuss this aspect. On the other hand, if in fact the tip had been out of the peritoneum for 4 years, dialysis could already be very bad over those years. It seems to me that this subdialysis occurred more recently, which reinforces the idea of tip extrusion rather than poor previous placement of the catheter tip During the discussion, the authors assume that the catheter was positioned behind the rectum sheath posteriorly and the fascia without passing through the peritoneum, so that the catheter tip was located between the transversalis fascia and the peritoneum for 4 years? Do you think it would be possible during all these years? In my opinion a catheter extrusion should be included in the differential diagnosis in this case



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 70408

**Title:** Sustained dialysis with misplaced peritoneal dialysis catheter outside peritoneum:

a case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05644467 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-07 12:42

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-15 10:54

**Review time:** 7 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript described a rare condition: a sustained peritoneal dialysis with a misplaced extra-peritoneal dialysis catheter in patient undergoing peritoneal dialysis. This patient used it for more than four years. The reason of this case was analyzed. Please further describe how the necessary laboratory and imaging tests are used to prevent these cases happening again.



## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 70408

**Title:** Sustained dialysis with misplaced peritoneal dialysis catheter outside peritoneum:

a case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03939508 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Reviewer\_Country

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-02

Reviewer chosen by: Ji-Hong Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-24 19:23

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-26 00:24

**Review time:** 1 Day and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [ Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

NA