

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 73761

Title: Penile Metastasis from Rectal Carcinoma: A Case Report and Literature Review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05186196

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Academic Research, Attending Doctor, Doctor, Research Fellow

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-12-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-23 20:25

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-23 20:41

Review time: 1 Hour

| Scientific quality | [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
|--------------------|--|
| Language quality | [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Re-review | [Y]Yes []No |
| Peer-reviewer | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous |



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors report the discovery of a penile metastasis in a patient diagnosed with rectal cancer. The case is interesting and the manuscript can warrant publication in the journal following some revisions: 1. The template required by WJCC has not been used. Please revise the manuscript and references according to the journal's norms. 2. The paper requires a moderate polishing of the English language. 3. The discussions can be condensed a little bit, a lot of redundant information.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 73761

Title: Penile Metastasis from Rectal Carcinoma: A Case Report and Literature Review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05481632

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MCh

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-12-02

Reviewer chosen by: Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-14 13:20

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-14 13:42

Review time: 1 Hour

| Scientific quality | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
|--------------------|--|
| Language quality | [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Re-review | [Y]Yes []No |
| Peer-reviewer | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous |



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a rare case report and properly written by the authors



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 73761

Title: Penile Metastasis from Rectal Carcinoma: A Case Report and Literature Review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05566266

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-12-02

Reviewer chosen by: Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-15 18:25

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-20 05:58

Review time: 4 Days and 11 Hours

| Scientific quality | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
|--------------------|--|
| Language quality | [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Re-review | [Y]Yes []No |
| Peer-reviewer | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous |





statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is well written. I want to ask only one question: What do you think about the metastatic pathway of your case? Please indicate