

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 75818

**Title:** Primary squamous cell carcinoma of the liver: A case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 02719046

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Tunisia

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-02-16

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-02-16 15:41

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-02-18 16:28

**Review time:** 2 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

**statements**Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ **Y** ] No**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors should describe the histology of hepatic squamous cell carcinoma in the clinical history. The quality of histological figures is poor. Thus, they must be replaced. The authors should add a figure illustrating the gross features of the hepatic tumor. Chest X-ray as well as thoracic CT scan must be performed in order to exclude a pulmonary origin of the hepatic squamous cell carcinoma. Squamous cell carcinoma of the lung is negative for TTF1. Colonoscopy should be performed so as to exclude a colorectal or anal tumor.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 75818

**Title:** Primary squamous cell carcinoma of the liver: A case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 02510220

**Position:** Editor-in-Chief

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Academic Research, Full Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Poland

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-02-16

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-02-19 15:12

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-02-19 15:39

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors present a rare case of liver cancer with squamous histology. There is no novelty. The description is correct, but the authors present too much laboratory data that are not informative. The authors do not present any data showing that the case was special. The study should present a table with all already published cases and the outcome. The reader will expect to gain a complete knowledge of what to do with such a rare case. The risk of progression, the effectiveness of RTH, and CTH. A longer one-year follow-up would be nice. In addition: 1. It is unclear what kind of CTH after surgery was offered and why? 2. What kind of antibodies in immunotherapy were used? 3. What it means "poor findings for the esophagus" 4. Please explain the set of immunochemistry used.