

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 68766

**Title:** Full-endoscopic spine surgery treatment of lumbar foraminal stenosis after osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures: A case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05395420

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MS, PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-06-14

**Reviewer chosen by:** Ze-Mao Gong

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-09-03 13:18

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-09-04 00:49

**Review time:** 11 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

First, the authors describe the technique of FESS with PVP for OVCF and LFS due to vertebral compression fractures with good results. Second, the authors have combined two widely practised procedures to address the individual pathologies into one surgical procedure. Third, although this is a case report, a large series needs to be followed up to reinstate the value of combining the two procedure to address the key issues in hand. Line No 17 Expand acronyms on its first use Line No 58 Arthroplasty? Line No 94,95 rephrase MRI findings Having identified significant root compression in the preoperative MRI, attempt might have been taken to address the issue beforehand to reduce the morbidity to the patient soon after PVP was performed so that the cement extrusion if at all present could have also be addressed instantaneously. There is nothing novel about the procedure or the principle used but its just a combination of two procedures to address subsequent complications of OVCF. Some of the points in the discussion are irrelevant to the subject in the context. No need to repeat all the case findings in the discussion again, just stick on the point to be discussed.

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 68766

**Title:** Full-endoscopic spine surgery treatment of lumbar foraminal stenosis after osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures: A case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05395420

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MS, PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-06-14

**Reviewer chosen by:** Ji-Hong Liu

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-11-16 12:23

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-11-16 12:37

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Dear Authors The authors have done sufficient changes are recommended in the previous round of review I have a few corections to be made before i could recommend it for publication Figure legends of Figure 3,4,5 needs to be revised as the figure shows only the post operative status of PVP or FESS for that matter and not the procedure itself the legend says MRI of FESS, CT of PVP which is not acceptable. Moreover the authors needs to give a response to the queries raised in the previous round of review with the exact page and line no of the corrections made and to be highlihgted in the revised manuscript submitted for ease of review