

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 75407

Title: Nursing a patient with latent autoimmune diabetes in adults (LADA) with insulin-related lipodystrophy, allergy, and exogenous insulin autoimmune syndrome: a case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06232110 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD, RN

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Senior Scientist, Senior Statistician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-28 01:59

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-05 12:49

Review time: 8 Days and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection



Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Discussion of related work should be improved by clearly stating what the differences/similarities are to this work



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 75407

Title: Nursing a patient with latent autoimmune diabetes in adults (LADA) with insulin-related lipodystrophy, allergy, and exogenous insulin autoimmune syndrome: a case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02489089 Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: BSc, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Austria

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-13 10:34

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-20 10:10

Review time: 6 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection



Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, thanks a lot for giving me the oppourtunity to review your manuscript. As I read, the case has been partly published in a Chinese Journal, but has now the focus on nursing. I don't know in what kind of Journal the case has been publised but there is no doubt for me that I can review your manuscript in the direction of nursing. reflects the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript. The abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript. The key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. The background of the topic is adequately described with a present status and significance of the case report. I can't see a method which has to been used in a study (i.e. intervention), but that's not poor, because you described a case. All datas you use in the manuscript are clear described and important to understand the case. There are no results in the meaning of results of an (interventional) study, but under Point 3 of the manuscript you described the key points of nursing, which I would resume as the results of the case. The key points are concisely, clearly and logically. Your remarks are relevant to clinical practice. The figures and tables are sufficient and in good quality and with appropriate legends. Biostatistics are not used. Citations are appropriate. I couldn't find self citations of the authors. All in all the manuscript is well, concisely and coherently organized and presented. Style, language and grammar are accurate and appropriate. The authors did prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research method of a case report. I was wondering, why there is no note for submitting the case in an ethical committee. I would recommend to get an answer to the editor in chief and to me why this submission has not been done. Best regards! Your reviewer



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com