

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76245

Title: Anatomy of the anterolateral ligament of the knee joint

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04168227

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: BPhy, DPhil, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-10 09:31

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-10 09:54

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The research gap was not identified and discussed properly with suitable and recent references. Although the major structures inside and around the knee joint have been minutely explored, the anatomy of the anterolateral aspect of the knee joint has not yet been well established. – Give reference for this statement. Claes et al. reported the discovery of the anterolateral ligament (ALL) – almost 9 years old reference. How come this mini review is differing from reference number 21?

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76245

Title: Anatomy of the anterolateral ligament of the knee joint

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05446574

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-23 02:12

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-02 00:13

Review time: 9 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Anatomy of the anterolateral ligament of the knee joint Name of the Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases Article type: MINIREVIEWS 1 Title. The title reflects the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript 2 Abstract. The abstract summarizes and reflects the work described in the manuscript However, it needs to be summarized with paraphrasing for improving the quality of language 3 Keywords. the keywords are adequate and reflect the focus of the manuscript 4 Background. Line 76-85 is a long introduction that does not add anything to the reader, please remove it Line 99 (presents with positive “pivot shift test”) could be replaced by (presents with persistent “pivot shift test”) HISTORICAL REVIEW OF THE ALL PREVALENCE OF THE ALL Those sections were very long and could be summarized and re-phrased to a more concise form The review lacks discussing the function of the anterolateral ligament and its rule in anterolateral stability of the knee , could be better if added 5 Illustrations and tables. MRI pictures could be of great value with keeping the copyrights of the sources of these photos 6 References. Does the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections? Does the author self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite and/or over-cite references? 7 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Very good but language editing is needed as many grammar issues are found