

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 75726

Title: Primary clear cell sarcoma of soft tissue in the posterior cervical spine invaded the

medulla oblongata: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00070509

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-13 22:54

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-16 04:33

Review time: 2 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I think this is an interesting case report entitled "Primary clear cell sarcoma of soft tissue in the posterior cervical spine invaded the medulla oblongata." The reviewer thinks that this case report has enough interesting to the readers and can provide provisional diagnostic value to confirm the unusual site clear cell sarcoma. But there are many problems to be corrected, mainly terms and spellings. Revisions to suggest: 1. page 2/9, Core tip; aponapeae ---> aponeurosis 2. page 3/9, Lab exam; tumor associated antigen 125 --> cancer antigen 125 tumor associated antigen 19-9 --> carbohydrate antigen 19-9 Imaging exam; pathological mitosis --> atypical mitosis immunohistochemistry: ~~~ --> put the full-terms and followed by abbreviations in parenthesis 3. page 4/9; Ewsr1 --> EWSR1 Outcome and follow-up; put the follow-up length from Vinmentin --> Vimentin 4. page 5/9; surgery to the present schwannioma --> schwannoma phlebiolith --> phlebolith 5. page 9/9; pathological mitosis Figure 3 (legend), --> atypical mitosis Figure 3A: higher magnification is mandatory Figure 3C: higher magnification of HMB-45 positive areas should be included



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 75726

Title: Primary clear cell sarcoma of soft tissue in the posterior cervical spine invaded the

medulla oblongata: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03891054 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-26 14:47

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-26 15:25

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



https://www.wignet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations for the submission. In my view there could be some small improvements in the submission. 1. Please correct some misspelling in the literature: -aponapeae -> aponeurosis (page 2, line 8). -schwannioma -> schwannoma (page 4, line 8) -phlebiolith -> phlebolith (page 4, line 13) etc 2. it said :"local compression on spinal cord" seen by CT Scan enhanced sagittal view. I think that spinal cord compression would be better evaluated by MRI. In all the MRI figures, I can not find any compression on spinal cord caused by the tumor. This manuscript has to be checked by imaging specialists such as radiologists. 3. it is better to describe that all the neurological examination is normal in the physical examination, pre and post operative, because the tumor is in cervical region, and the neurologic status will be our most concern. 4. please state, who did the operation (level of the capability) 5. Please describe the duration of the followup that the authors had included in the manuscript. describe the term of "his condition is stable" in the outcome. Is it hemodynamic stable? neurologic stable?. 7. please discuss the clinical/diagnosis role of the abnormality of antigen 125 and antigen 19-9 in this case report.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 75726

Title: Primary clear cell sarcoma of soft tissue in the posterior cervical spine invaded the

medulla oblongata: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00070509 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-11

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-20 23:00

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-20 23:47

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article is recommended for publication because the authors responded thoroughly to the reviewer's comments.