

### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Jou	rnal of Clinical Cases
----------------------------	------------------------

Manuscript NO: 76534

Title: Intra-ampullary papillary-tubular neoplasm combined with ampullary

neuroendocrine carcinoma: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02547883 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan Author's Country/Territory: Slovenia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-22 08:25

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-28 12:01

**Review time:** 6 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No



## Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous [Y] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors report the first case of IAPN associated with NEC. Cases of impulsive complications of mixed neuroendocrine-nonendocrine neoplasia and two different tumors are extremely rare; in addition, the histopathological findings after resection were examined in great detail. I have included several comments below and ask that you respond to them carefully. 1. It is difficult to accept that a tumor that was negative for chromogranin became a neuroendocrine tumor. I request that the objectivity of the pathological diagnosis be ensured by a second opinion. 2. Did this patient underwent pathological examination of the liver metastases by liver biopsy?



### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 76534

Title: Intra-ampullary papillary-tubular neoplasm combined with ampullary

neuroendocrine carcinoma: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05060792 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Chief Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Slovenia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

 $\textbf{Reviewer accepted review: } 2022\text{-}03\text{-}24\ 13\text{:}36$ 

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-08 02:37

**Review time:** 14 Days and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ Y] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ Y] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



# Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. It is a good case and review of rare tumor. 2. But, it does not add to any information in the management or clinical practice. 3. The combinations of various cell lines are possible at ampulla, but still surgery remains the first choice. Endoscopic resection as alternative treatment depending on the clinical circumstances. 4. Adjuvant treatment is always considered at more dominant cell line. 5. So, no further information is added to clinical management by the article.



### RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 76534

Title: Intra-ampullary papillary-tubular neoplasm combined with ampullary

neuroendocrine carcinoma: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05060792 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Chief Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Slovenia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-21

Reviewer chosen by: Ying-Ying Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-09 07:51

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-05-10 10:17

Review time: 1 Day and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Rare Case Report . Nicely written.