

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 75581

**Title:** Invasive intervention timing for infected necrotizing pancreatitis: Late invasive intervention is not late for collection

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06176936

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Deputy Director, Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-20 15:02

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-28 18:06

Review time: 8 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, I liked this manuscript. The topic of the article is very relevant. The requirements for an Opinion Review are generally met. However, the requirements for the references (list all authors and include the PMID and DOI) are not complete.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 75581

**Title:** Invasive intervention timing for infected necrotizing pancreatitis: Late invasive intervention is not late for collection

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05226098

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Director

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-05 05:03

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-05 08:08

Review time: 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review describes late invasive intervention for infected necrotizing pancreatitis is not late for collection. Please show the results of the literature in a table. Please describe the characteristics of necrotizing pancreatitis that require early intervention.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 75581

**Title:** Invasive intervention timing for infected necrotizing pancreatitis: Late invasive intervention is not late for collection

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05083802

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: DNB, MBBS, MCh, MS

Professional title: Additional Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-05 14:18

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-07 18:23

Review time: 2 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority)       [ ] Accept (General priority)         [ Y] Minor revision       [ ] Major revision       [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have focussed on the timing of intervention for infected necrotizing pancreatitis. They have highlighted the need for the current opinion review. They have included the relevant recent trials related to the management of infected necrotizing pancreatitis. While supporting the role of late intervention, authors can include the specific indications for early intervention in infected necrotizing pancreatitis and the preferred route in summary. Also, grammatical errors in the manuscript need to be corrected.



### **RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT**

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases Manuscript NO: 75581 Title: Invasive intervention timing for infected necrotizing pancreatitis: Late invasive intervention is not late for collection Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind Reviewer's code: 05226098 **Position:** Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD Professional title: Associate Professor, Director Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan Author's Country/Territory: China Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-02 Reviewer chosen by: Ying-Ying Liu Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-09 07:51 Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-09 08:28

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous





statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper has been revised appropriately. No additional comments.