Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No

![Baishideng Publishing Group logo]

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study entitled "Cognitive training for elderly patients with early alzheimer disease in the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau: A pilot study" seems to have been generally well executed and written. Furthermore, I believe that this topic will be of great interest to the readers. However, I have some major concerns that requires authors attention.   Introduction Please state the clear hypothesis of your study at the end of Introduction.   Materials and Methods Subjects / In the first sentence please include an information what type of study you have performed. How was determinate to what patient group each subject belongs (cognitive training group or conventional nursing group)? Did you used randomization? If yes, please explain randomization in detail, if not, that limitation of study should be listed in Discussion. Did you register your study on any trial registration? If yes, please provide register name and number. You should register this study, because this is a prospective intervention trial.   Discussion You applied only MMSE test for cognitive evaluation. It is not a very specific test, it would be much better if other tests that examine specific cognitive domains were included, i.e., if neuropsychological test battery was performed to test patients. Therefore, this study limitation should be addressed properly.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 75016

Title: Cognitive training for elderly patients with early alzheimer disease in the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau: A pilot study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02482011

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-11 05:37

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-13 09:43

Review time: 2 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Major revision requirieds

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing
	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)
	[ ] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an intersting manuscript but I have some concerns for the Methods. Firstly, patients had support and help during the observation period for example family member or support enviroment to use the application or to daily routine? Also, why the patients' observation lasted only 6 months or 1 year? Early alzheimer disease is a progressive disease that it is expressed different in patients in different time points. Please, add to the figure the randomization process.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous

statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please authors should write the words: weeks and months, fully not with an acronym

Also, in this submission, authors did not include the tables.