PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76622

Title: Comparison of demographic features and laboratory parameters between coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) deceased patients and surviving severe and critically ill cases

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06143644

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-06

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-10 11:52

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-23 13:46

Review time: 13 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection

Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study about the demographic features and laboratory parameters of COVID-19 deceased patients comparing with those of surviving severe and critically ill cases. The study is well designed and the results are very interesting. Data in tables are good. Also, the results are well discussed. In my opinion, this study can be accepted for publication after a minor editing.

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection

Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for inviting me to review this interesting study. The authors conducted this study, to better understand the underlying pathogenesis of COVID-19 deceased patients, identify these patients as early as possible, guide clinical treatment regimens, and thus improve the clinical outcomes. Overall this study is very interesting, and the study is well performed. The study is worthy to publication. A minor language polishing should be corrected.