

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 75880

Title: Vulvovaginal myeloid sarcoma with massive pelvic floor infiltration: A rare case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06258735

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-21 13:19

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-01 13:51

Review time: 8 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

First, what are the original findings of this manuscript? What is the new hypothesis that this research proposes? What are the new phenomena discovered through experiments in this research? What hypotheses were confirmed experimentally in this study? They found a rare case of Vulvovaginal myeloid sarcoma with massive pelvic floor infiltration. This case is a rare case. This is in accordance with the purpose of writing a case report. Second, what is the quality and importance of this manuscript? What are the new findings from this study? What is the new concept that this research proposes? What new method does this research propose? Do the conclusions appropriately summarize the data provided by this study? What unique insights does this research present? What are the main problems in this area that this research has solved? This manuscript is important as a lesson in the management of cases of vulvovaginal myeloid sarcoma with massive pelvic floor infiltration. The main problem of this case has been solved. The authors also highlight problems in management such as differential diagnosis. Conclusions are drawn up according to the results. This manuscript is well written but improvements need to be made in the discussion because the author includes various theories but lacks in discussing the case that the author analyzes. Third, what are the limitations of the study and its findings? What is the future direction of the topics described in this manuscript? What questions/problems still need to be solved? What are the questions that drive this research for the author to do next? How can this publication impact basic science and/or clinical practice? The author does not include limitations in this manuscript. The future of this topic is described as the basis for further research on the potential of vulvovaginal myeloid sarcoma with massive pelvic floor

infiltration compared to its vaginal appearance alone. This is certainly heavy for women's quality of life. In clinical practice, these findings support efforts to detect early pelvic floor sarcoma expansion. The question is how to specifically distinguish this differential diagnosis precisely so that further research is needed.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 75880

Title: Vulvovaginal myeloid sarcoma with massive pelvic floor infiltration: A rare case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04153245

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: BM BCh, MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-21

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-26 07:18

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-26 09:21

Review time: 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is accepted with major revision clarified in the attached version.