

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76617

Title: An Overview of the Anterolateral Complex of the Knee

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04172367

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Consultant Physician-Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Author's Country/Territory: Argentina

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-23 05:07

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-01 15:19

Review time: 9 Days and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

- The author may cite this article in the introduction section to strengthen the background: Santoso A, Anwar IB, Sibarani T, Soetjahjo B, Utomo DN, Mustamsir E, Budhiparama NC. Research on the Anterolateral Ligament of the Knee: An Evaluation of PubMed Articles From 2010 to 2019. Orthop J Sports Med. 2020 Dec 29;8(12):2325967120973645.
- Table 1: To establish a scoring system for a surgical treatment need some research and validation research. As this article is a minireview, It is suggested to change the content this table just a list of possible indication for anterolateral reconstruction.
- Table 1: How to decide that a score of more than 10 is indicated for anterolateral reconstruction? Is there any research for this?
- The author may add some data of the outcome anterolateral reconstruction from the recent research reports

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76617

Title: An Overview of the Anterolateral Complex of the Knee

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05235565

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBBS

Professional title: Doctor, Honorary Research Fellow, Senior Postdoctoral Fellow, Senior Research Fellow, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Argentina

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-23

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-17 20:49

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-18 08:39

Review time: 11 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I would firstly like to compliment the Authors for their article, which summarizes evidence on a very relevant topic. I have gone through the paper with great attention and interest. I have made recommendations in favor of publication after minor revisions.

- The article is overall well written and structured. Good English. - I would modify the title, adding some more hints of what it is about - I would reword the first introduction paragraph, particularly the first line ("of this structure ???...") - Is the referencing at the end of the first introduction paragraph comprehensive? I am just not sure... - Further referencing is needed between reference 5 and the aim. These authors' statements are summerised in a couple of already published papers. I would suggest adding the following 3 references here: 1) Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with lateral extra-articular tenodesis reduces knee rotation laxity and graft failure rate: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong). 2022 Jan-Apr;30(1):10225536221095969. doi: 10.1177/10225536221095969. PMID: 35465765. 2) Over the top anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in patients with open physes: a long-term follow-up study. Int Orthop. 2020 Apr;44(4):771-778. doi: 10.1007/s00264-020-04490-4. Epub 2020 Jan 28. PMID: 31993711. 3) Lateral Extra-articular Tenodesis Reduces Rotational Laxity When Combined With Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction: A Systematic Review of the Literature. Arthroscopy. 2015 Oct;31(10):2022-34. doi: 10.1016/j.arthro.2015.04.089. Epub 2015 Jun 24. PMID: 26116497. - I would further clarify the aims, possibly adding some clinical implications or further reasons why this paper could be relevant for the surgeons dealing with such type of injuries. - The anatomy sections is well written and organized - Do the



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

authors mean "xradiographs" or "plain films" when they name a paragraph "Radiology"? Please also add more relevant information (if existing) - Please add the following relevant references after ref. 37-38 (...Marcacci...): 1) Over-the-top ACL reconstruction yields comparable outcomes to traditional ACL reconstruction in primary and revision settings: a systematic review. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2019 Feb;27(2):427-444. doi: 10.1007/s00167-018-5084-2. Epub 2018 Aug 4. PMID: 30078121. 2) Return to sport activity after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in skeletally immature athletes with manual drilling original all inside reconstruction at 8 years follow-up. *Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc.* 2016 Dec;50(6):635-638. doi: 10.1016/j.aott.2016.03.006. Epub 2016 Nov 3. PMID: 27817976; PMCID: PMC6197601. - I believe a discussion section would be recommended. It would add relevance to the paper, discussing clinical implications and possibly highlighting the strengths of the article, what are current research's directions, etc... Alternatively, I would make the according changes to the conclusion section. - Please add limitations of the article. - Supplemental material is appropriate - I believe not much than the above could be done, as this is meant to be a mini-review, hence scientific relevance aims are limited.