

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 76024

Title: Computed Tomography Combined with Gastroscopy in Pancreatic Segmental

Portal Hypertension

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05769123 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

**Professional title:** Chief Technician

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-26

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-08 05:59

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-21 03:51

**Review time:** 12 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No



# Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study concludes the risk of bleeding in PSPH by Gastroscopy grade and S / C ratio. The content is generally agreed, but there is one contradiction. I will write it together with other matters to be added. (1) In conclusion, there is a discrepancy in the title because CT and endoscopic results are required. You should change the title. (2) In this study, the results of CT are mainly emphasized, but the CT imaging method and contrast agent usage are not clarified. The CT imaging method should be specifically stated. (3) The S / C ratio plays an important role, so I think a figure of the measurement method is necessary. (4) The Gastorography grade column in Table 3 is blank and only the P value is shown. I don't understand this.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 76024

Title: Computed Tomography Combined with Gastroscopy in Pancreatic Segmental

Portal Hypertension

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04152258 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-26

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-13 02:06

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-14 01:18

Review time: 23 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



## Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

thank you for giving me an opportunity to review the article. My suggestions: 1. great deal of english polishing is needed. 2. the results are difficult to understand and clinical relevance is questionable. 3. a tabular presentation of data and its literature is needed in discussion. 4. please mention all the study limitations in separate paragraph before conclusion 4. please mention which software was used for statistics.