

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 75671

Title: Beware of the DeBakey Type I Aortic Dissection Hidden by Ischemic Stroke: A

Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05291028

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-12

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-05 07:46

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-09 08:25

Review time: 4 Days

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present a clinical note reporting two patients with type I DeBakey aortic dissection presenting as ischemic stroke emphasizing the importance of ruling out aortic dissection before fibrinolitic treatment in acute ischemic cerebrovascular disease. This report is potentially interesting but the manuscript can be improved according to the following suggestions: 1. Did the patient present with nausea or vomiting at any time during the clinical course? 2. It would be interesting to know if the onset of neurological symptoms was abrupt and sudden. 3. Typographic errors on page 5, line 10 ("carotic") should be corrected. 4. The authors should mention in the Discussion that arterial dissection represents 5.7% of first-ever ischemic strokes of unusual cause in a clinical series (Eur J Neurol 2001; 8: 133-139)



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 75671

Title: Beware of the DeBakey Type I Aortic Dissection Hidden by Ischemic Stroke: A

Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00227375

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-12

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Qi Zhu

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-17 07:29

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-18 10:18

Review time: 1 Day and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting case report about 2 painless DeBakey type I aortic dissection patients with ischemic stroke. This manuscript is nicely structured and well written. I have no question about this manuscript.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 75671

Title: Beware of the DeBakey Type I Aortic Dissection Hidden by Ischemic Stroke: A

Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04336547

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACS, MBBS, MCh, MS

Professional title: Additional Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-12

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Qi Zhu

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-19 10:53

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-27 08:42

Review time: 7 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Despite negative results (Both patients died without any specific treatment), still a good and scientific valid manuscript. Message is crisp & clear. Discussion is bit lengthy. Minor English mistakes (Carotic ?). References are new & relevant.