

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 76142

Title: Recurrent herpes zoster in a rheumatoid arthritis patient treated with tofacitinib: A

case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05870203

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBBS, MD

Professional title: Consultant Physician-Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Sri Lanka

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-04 09:14

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-10 13:46

Review time: 6 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors of this interesting manuscript have described a case of recurrent Herpes Zoster in a lady with rheumatoid arthritis on tofacitinib therapy. It is well written, and authors have attempted to describe possible mechanisms of causality of recurrent HZ and tofacitinib. The clinical implications and importance of preemptive HZ vaccination prior to this therapy in susceptible patients are concurrently highlighted. There are a few things to be further clarified. Page 5: History of past illness 'Because the patient did not inform the dermatologist of her history of RA or inform the rheumatologist of her HZ diagnosis, tofacitinib was continued. It is the duty of the clinicians to get a comprehensive medical history of the patients. A patient who was followed up with RA for three years should have clinical records. How can you justify this? It is not ethical for the patient to be pointed as responsible for not coming up with the history, specially an elderly. need to revise this sentence. 'At present, her RA is stable;.- This case report is a retrospective summary of events. thus, needs to be completed in past tense. laboratory examination: better be presented of as 'summary investigations' : what is the explanation for elevated monocytes? : Was an ESR performed? How was reactivation of RA excluded? : 'urine routine and immune function, and other examinations were normal.' The meaning of the is clear and incomplete. what is by other sentence not meant examinations? : Were Retroviral studies done on this patient? : How did you exclude persistent

immunosuppression with the age and RA as the causality of HZ reactivation? Was



COVID-19 excluded in this patient? suggest to refer the case description and discussion and cite, ' Munasinghe, B. M., Fernando, U., Mathurageethan, M., & Sritharan, D. (2022). Reactivation of varicella-zoster virus following mRNA COVID-19 vaccination in a patient with moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma of rectum: A 2050313X221077737. report. SAGE open medical case reports, 10, case https://doi.org/10.1177/2050313X221077737 OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP: The fact that the patient did not develop post-herpetic neuralgia needs to be mentioned as pain resolved within 3 months. Discussion: satisfactory. suggest the following reference which provides a good analysis of recurrent HZ: 'Shiraki K, Toyama N, Daikoku T, Yajima M. Herpes zoster and recurrent herpes zoster. InOpen forum infectious diseases 2017 Jan 1 (Vol. 4, No. 1). Oxford University Press.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 76142

Title: Recurrent herpes zoster in a rheumatoid arthritis patient treated with tofacitinib: A

case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05146264

Position: Associate Editor

Academic degree: MBBS, MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Research Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-04

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-13 08:20

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-13 08:29

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, Thank you for submitting the manuscript for review. It is an interesting manuscript which is not mentioned in past in the literature. It would definitely help clinicians to use Tofacitinib more cautiously and consider this side effect in their patients. Language definitely needs correction to make it worth publishing.