

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77317

Title: CCNO mutation as a cause of primary ciliary dyskinesia: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05755592

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: FCPS, MBBS

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Pakistan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-24

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-25 05:14

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-29 17:37

**Review time:** 4 Days and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



# Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors are requested for major revision. following major corrections are requested. 1. In introduction section Can the author comment on the usual presenting age of the PCD? What is the reported incidence of CCNO induced PCD? Reference numbering is not correct. 1-4 references are missing? 2. In case description section deficiencies are there. They have been marked in reviewed file. Please correct them. 3. In discussion section also few corrections need to be done. They have been marked in reviewed file. 4. please remove redundancy from the conclusion section and make it precise and short .Its too lengthy. 5. Language needs polishing too.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77317

Title: CCNO mutation as a cause of primary ciliary dyskinesia: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06283805

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Attending Doctor, Consultant Physician-Scientist, Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-24

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-02 09:38

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-07 14:11

**Review time:** 5 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority)</li> <li>[ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ Y] Minor revision</li> <li>[ ] Major revision</li> <li>[ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous [Y] Onymous



# Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

First : PCD is rare and under diagnose, I think firstly its okay in case report form, and there is no any data in China yet Second : There is no new concept, and no alter the treatment management, since the therapy in this case only supportive and symptomatic Third : Only one case, and many genes involves in PCS instead CCNO, we can say yet the problem solved and impact basic science and clinical practice



## **RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT**

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases* 

Manuscript NO: 77317

Title: CCNO mutation as a cause of primary ciliary dyskinesia: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06283805

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Attending Doctor, Consultant Physician-Scientist, Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-24

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-27 17:54

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-27 17:59

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous [ Y] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No



#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

no comment, the author has been correct the manuscript according to suggestion



## **RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT**

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases* 

Manuscript NO: 77317

Title: CCNO mutation as a cause of primary ciliary dyskinesia: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05755592

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: FCPS, MBBS

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Pakistan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-24

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-27 19:30

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-28 11:26

Review time: 15 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I must congratulate all the authors. They have nicely answered and have tackled various reviewers comments.