

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76903

Title: A Case Report and Literature Review: Intrahepatic Multicystic Biliary Hamartoma

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00069988

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor, Research Associate, Senior Scientist, Staff Physician, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Croatia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-06

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-06 14:06

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-06 14:51

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

All comments are in the Word document attached.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76903

Title: A Case Report and Literature Review: Intrahepatic Multicystic Biliary Hamartoma

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05455405

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-06

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-06 08:53

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-12 11:53

Review time: 6 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors and editors, the manuscript is of interest only in the aspect of the rare occurrence of multicystic biliary hamartomas. To date, there are only 15 articles on this topic in the Pubmed database. The therapeutic and surgical approach described by the authors is standard for patients with liver diseases requiring surgical treatment. The volume of preoperative examination and morphological verification of the tumor does not contain novelty. For the originality of the work, the authors could add a generalization of the data of radiation diagnostics and pathomorphological research available in the literature in the form of their own table. Figures (images of CT, MRI, ultrasound, pathomorphological examination) require revision and additional designations on them, as well as additions to the description. The table is designed incorrectly and requires changes in both structure and content. Also, the authors need to reconsider the scientific style of presentation. For example, "The patient conducted several laboratory tests, which posed a further burden on the family's finances" have nothing to do with scientific content. The manuscript should be reworked and issued at the request of the publisher <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/195>.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76903

Title: A Case Report and Literature Review: Intrahepatic Multicystic Biliary Hamartoma

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06271151

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACS, MD

Professional title: Academic Fellow, Research Fellow, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Ecuador

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-06

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-06 04:47

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-13 19:56

Review time: 7 Days and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a very interesting, academic and rare case, even more so in a pediatric patient, I consider that the surgical technique was adequate. Recommendations: 1 Improve image quality 2 Mention which of the hepatic masses of differential diagnosis are more common, due to this pathology, besides being rare, it is benign, so the surgical conduct is different.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76903

Title: A Case Report and Literature Review: Intrahepatic Multicystic Biliary Hamartoma

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00069837

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Full Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Argentina

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-06

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-06 16:32

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-14 21:59

Review time: 8 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This case is a very interesting case and clearly reflects the diagnostic difficulty that some cystic tumors present in clinical practice. However, several changes should be made for this case report to be published in WJG. Abstract The abstract is incomplete and should include background, case summary and conclusions. As a conclusion the authors should be more specific in sending a message to the reader about what are the characteristics of this cystic tumor that the clinician should take into account to decide that the patient should be referred for surgery (Size, symptoms, imaging findings, etc.?). On the other hand, the concept of whether there is any malignant feature on imaging that would accelerate referral to surgery could also be transmitted. Structure of the manuscript In my opinion, it is redundant to place "Case description" and "Conclusion" before the introduction section. Please restructure this presentation by placing the introduction after the abstract to follow with the case presentation and finally the discussion of the case. Case presentation According to the algorithm for the study of tumors, the ultrasound report should be placed before the rest of the imaging studies. The description of liver biopsy is insufficient. It should describe in more depth the findings of the abnormal observed tissue. A better description of the immunohistochemical analysis of the surgical specimen should be made by the authors. In line 77 where it say "a trocar was placed to explore the abdominal cavity", it should read "a laparoscopic trocar was placed to explore the abdominal cavity In line 85, a description of the postoperative CT findings should be made by the authors. Discussion In line 92, it should say " ...compared with the former published cases..." A bibliographic citation should be placed at the end of paragraphs in line 96 and 99. In the paragraph beginning on line 112, the authors should state whether there are imaging



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

characteristics that detect malignant changes. In line 141, the authors describe that postoperative therapy was performed but do not describe what type of therapy was carried out. In line 142, the sentence "The overall prognosis was satisfactory, and reexamination was recommended", should be rewritten because instead of prognosis it should read evolution or outcome and an imaging reexamination was recommended. Finally, after this paragraph, the authors should write the pertinent conclusions and advice for the reader considering the difficulties that the clinician faces when suspecting this type of tumors. The English language used in this manuscript should be improved in its grammatical structure and trying not to use colloquial expressions, focusing more on academic English.