

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 78018

Title: Intra and Extra Pelvic Multidisciplinary Surgical Approach of Retroperitoneal

Sarcoma: Case Series Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04582350

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-05 06:47

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-05 15:29

Review time: 8 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Intra and Extra Pelvic Multidisciplinary Surgical Approach of Retroperitoneal The treatment of Retroperitoneal sarcoma (RPS) considers a very challenging because of the features and characteristics of the tumour, where it has a high reoccurrence rate and sensitive location. According to this study applying intra and extra pelvic multidisciplinary surgical approach have better outcome than preforming other methods such as surgical approaches, chemotherapy or target therapy, and radiotherapy (RT). Suggestions: 1. Although intra and extra pelvic have some benefits, but the invasive incision could harm surrounded organs and tissue and compress them. 2. Preforming multidisciplinary approach consume more time and high cost. 3. Big mass can limit intra and extra pelvic multidisciplinary approach surgery. 4. Follow up period was not well preformed. And massive dissections and incisions of surrounding vessels and tissue could increase the morality rate postoperatively. Along with large estimated blood loss volume. Which will increase the risks of this surgery. 5. Preoperative embolization of retroperitoneal tumors ?



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 78018

Title: Intra and Extra Pelvic Multidisciplinary Surgical Approach of Retroperitoneal

Sarcoma: Case Series Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03507996

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Professor, Research Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-04 05:21

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-07 17:27

Review time: 3 Days and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors in this article describe their experience with multidisciplinary surgical resection of retroperitoneal sarcoma (RPS) using intra- and extrapelvic approaches, and conclude that this approaches can improve the macroscopic security of the surgical margin. The paper is scientifically satisfactory, rigorous and well documented. The title reflect the main subject of the manuscript, the abstract reflect the work described in the paper, the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. The manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study, and describe methods in adequate detail. The study contributes significantly to the management of retroperitoneal sarcoma. The manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically. The bibliography is good.