

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77231

Title: Misdiagnosis of an elevated lesion in the esophagus: A case report of esophageal

carcinosarcoma and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05309675 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MBBS

Professional title: Academic Research, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-20

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-04 00:51

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-16 15:01

Review time: 12 Days and 14 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Overall it is an interesting case and an important topic on a rare tumor. I thank the authors for presenting this case. -In the case presentation both in the abstract and the full text, the authors have stated that the patient presented with dysphasia, I believe that this is a typographical error instead of dysphagia? -Recommend that the introduction be re-written. I would advise the author to re-write the first line "Patients routinely undergo endoscopic evaluation for dysphagia during which protruding or elevated lesions..." -"Part of those present as pedunculated characteristics" please re-write this sentence in a grammatically correct way. -Please ensure the manuscript is proof read. There are several grammatical mistakes. Case presentation: -Can the authors be a little more elaborate with the patient's complaints? such as duration, progression, associated symptoms, etc? -Instead of imaging examination I would suggest that the subtitle be replaced with endoscopic examination as that is what the authors have described here in detail. Discussion: -Several grammatical errors. Recommend the authors to rectify it and proof read it.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77231

Title: Misdiagnosis of an elevated lesion in the esophagus: A case report of esophageal

carcinosarcoma and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03806663 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-20

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-31 18:30

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-31 20:24

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an interesting case that discusses a rare entity: 1-The manuscript is difficult to read; please try to simplify the sentences. 2- In the conclusion section, you mentioned that a biopsy from the root or the pedicle is better for the diagnosis. Can we conclude this result from only one case study? 3- Please add annotations, scale bar, magnification, and type of stain or dye regarding the figures. 4- As regards the endoscopic figures, what do you mean by lichen. Also, the lesion looks suspicious; I mean, not begin. 5- Regarding the EUS pictures, what is your explanation for the hypoechoic area and the increased vascularity. 6- Some corrections are highlighted with red color in the uploaded file.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77231

Title: Misdiagnosis of an elevated lesion in the esophagus: A case report of esophageal

carcinosarcoma and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05227022 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Attending Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-20

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-03 16:38

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-03 16:42

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baismueng Publishing Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1) Interesting case report with excellent representative images on a disorder many do not encounter nor know how to manage. 2) Minor typographical errors. Please eliminate any contraction (instead of "can't", please use "cannot). 3) Please change "dysphasia" to "dysphagia" in the case presentation. 4) Please change "founded" to "found"



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77231

Title: Misdiagnosis of an elevated lesion in the esophagus: A case report of esophageal

carcinosarcoma and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05846800 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-20

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-02 00:53

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-10 11:21

Review time: 8 Days and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author presented a rare case of esophageal sarcoma treated by endoscopic resection. The authors review previous reports of esophageal sarcoma, and contrast them with their own cases. However, it seems to be required some revisions. 1. It is recommended that the authors revise the main text and conclusions to make it easier to see what they want to emphasize most in this paper. 2. It would be better to show in a table the examples of past reports and to clearly indicate the characteristics and differences of the self-examined case. 3. Did author discuss esophageal sarcoma as a differential disease in the endoscopic biopsy specimen, including immunostaining, with the pathologist prior to surgery?



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77231

Title: Misdiagnosis of an elevated lesion in the esophagus: A case report of esophageal

carcinosarcoma and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05846800 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-20

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-09 05:40

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-10 00:59

Review time: 19 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I agree with the author's response to the reviewer's comments.