

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77389

Title: Infant with reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction confirmed COVID-19 and normal chest computed tomography: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06281319

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Peru

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-27 16:49

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-02 18:02

Review time: 5 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

- The authors present the case of an infant diagnosed with COVID-19 with respiratory and digestive symptoms and epidemiological history (parents with confirmed dx.) but with chest CT without significant findings. - The pediatric population can present up to 20% of hospitalized cases, normal findings. - In my opinion, the chest tomography shows a fine and diffuse reticular interstitial thickening. In such a case it would not be a normal chest tomography. I suggest consulting the images with a radiology specialist. - The resolution of the images should be improved. - If it is confirmed that it is a normal chest tomography, the discussion should focus on the imaging findings in the pediatric population; the authors only cite one article (of 10 children) for this purpose. - You will find other observations in the attached document in review mode.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77389

Title: Infant with reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction confirmed COVID-19 and normal chest computed tomography: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05457585

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Bangladesh

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-27 14:21

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-08 01:24

Review time: 10 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases Title: Infant with RT-PCR Confirmed COVID-19 and normal Chest CT:A case report Article type: Case Report Minor comments: Your article is inadequately presented. Furthermore, there are many problems in the different sections as well. Although the article has scientific rigor, several minor flows need to be improved before publication. 1. The abstract section can improve—add a focus point in the abstract section. 2. The report confirms that a normal chest... Rewrite the conclusion (in the abstract) in a more straightforward form. 3. Since the end of 2019, Coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19)... No new information. Need to add more recent insights. 4. Since the end of 2019, Coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) ...This paragraph can be extended. 5. Authors are suggested to use the full form when used for the first time throughout the manuscript. 6. The introduction section is poor and concise. 7. Case presentation: Can include some more biochemical parameters. 8. Figures presentation is up to mark. 9. The discussion is good. The discussion section can improve by including the data from other sources about related works. 10. The conclusion needs to address future perspectives. 11. Spacing, punctuation marks, grammar, and spelling errors should be reviewed thoroughly. I found so many typos throughout the manuscript.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77389

Title: Infant with reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction confirmed COVID-19 and normal chest computed tomography: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05457585

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Bangladesh

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-27

Reviewer chosen by: Yun-Xiaojuan Wu

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-21 12:07

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-22 11:01

Review time: 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases Title: Infant with RT-PCR Confirmed COVID-19 and normal Chest CT: A case report Article type: Case Report Minor comments: Your article is inadequately presented. Furthermore, there are many problems in the different sections as well. Although the article has scientific rigor, several minor flows need to be improved before publication. 1. The abstract section can improve—add a focus point in the abstract section. 2. The report confirms that a normal chest... Rewrite the conclusion (in the abstract) in a more straightforward form. 3. Case presentation: Can include some more biochemical parameters. 4. The discussion is good. The discussion section can improve by including the data from other sources about related works.