

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77016

Title: Clinical analysis of pipeline dredging agent poisoning: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03475479 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-11 09:30

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-11 12:53

**Review time:** 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors showed a case of pipeline dredging agent poisoning. The detail presentation might be helpful for clinicians to manage similar case. The diagnosis of acute alcohol poisoning was unclear. At the CT on day 7, gas production was found around esophagus. Necrosis of esophageal wall and infection of gas-producing bacteria might be found. At Figure 5, the image was unclear.



### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77016

Title: Clinical analysis of pipeline dredging agent poisoning: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05506329 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBBS, MD

**Professional title:** Attending Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Nepal

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-11

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-20 14:09

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-27 14:20

**Review time:** 7 Days

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

please provide list of abbreviations used or provide expanded and abbreviated form for the first time appearance in the text. Nonstandard abbreviations like Cr for creatinine is discouraged. was it a suicidal or accidental poisoning? Was GI endoscopy done? with your experience with this case, what additional thing/s would to you like to suggest for better outcome of such patients? Please adhere to journal guideline to mention more than 5 authors in the references (ref 8)



### RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77016

Title: Clinical analysis of pipeline dredging agent poisoning: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03475479 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-11

Reviewer chosen by: Ji-Hong Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-26 03:30

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-26 03:40

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No



## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In Fig 1, consideration for privacy should be done. Image of Fig.3 was unclear. It might be deleted.