

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77144

Title: Cholecystectomy for asymptomatic gallstones

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06228479

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: Singapore

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-17 05:04

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-18 19:04

Review time: 1 Day and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The following points are mentioned to improve the quality of the article for the authors: First, this article is a descriptive-analytical article about the prophylaxis of cholecystectomy in asymptomatic patients. The descriptive aspect of the article is stronger than the analytical aspect. Not strong. Second, the topic does not seem to be attractive to readers and there is nothing new in the topic. Third, this article does not seem to have valid evidence for changing scientific guidelines.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77144

Title: Cholecystectomy for asymptomatic gallstones

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02728559

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Singapore

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-18 15:21

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-21 13:29

Review time: 2 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article discussed the need for surgical treatment in patients with asymptomatic gallstones. The present article recommend that an option of cholecystectomy should be discussed with all asymptomatic gallstone patients and it is up to the patient to decide whether to undergo cholecystectomy. However, current guidelines recommended that people with asymptomatic stones do not need treatment unless they develop symptoms. People with asymptomatic gallstone should be actively involved in the process of therapeutic decision making. For people without a medical background, will they make the best therapeutic decision though there is disclosure of material information? Ultimately, does the medical community need to make a policy? If so, what should the policy be?