

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 66453

Title: Multicentric recurrence of intraductal papillary neoplasm of bile duct after

spontaneous detachment of primary tumor: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00503834 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Attending Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-23 03:50

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-26 08:19

Review time: 3 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Studies were complete. Discussion was reasonble.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 66453

Title: Multicentric recurrence of intraductal papillary neoplasm of bile duct after

spontaneous detachment of primary tumor: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05467628 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MBBS

Professional title: Doctor, Research Fellow

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-22

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-28 13:10

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-28 13:39

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting case report but the introduction section needs major Dear Editor enhancement. Thank you for inviting me to review. Ahmad



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 66453

Title: Multicentric recurrence of intraductal papillary neoplasm of bile duct after

spontaneous detachment of primary tumor: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05199120 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Chief Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-22

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-31 05:26

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-01 14:23

Review time: 1 Day and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No



Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript reports a patient with multicentric recurrence who survived after diagnosis of gastric subtype of IPNB for at least two years without curative resection, and suggests that if there is no histopathological evidence of malignancy, observation may serve as a reasonable alternative. Generally speaking, it proposes new concept for the treatment of gastric subtype of IPNB.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 66453

Title: Multicentric recurrence of intraductal papillary neoplasm of bile duct after

spontaneous detachment of primary tumor: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05562493 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Director

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-22

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-30 22:57

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-06 10:37

Review time: 6 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Baismueng Publishing Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The case in the article provides a new idea for the treatment of IPNB, and it is a very good and special case. However, there is little content about the latest progress of IPNB in the article. It is recommended to increase the background content and increase the current disease-related research progress. Modify the formatting errors in the text. And look up the literature to prove whether the case in the text is an individual case.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 66453

Title: Multicentric recurrence of intraductal papillary neoplasm of bile duct after

spontaneous detachment of primary tumor: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05467628 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MBBS

Professional title: Doctor, Research Fellow

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-22

Reviewer chosen by: Ze-Mao Gong

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-09 01:38

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-09 06:35

Review time: 4 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting case report of recurrence of a bile duct tumor after detachment of the primary neoplasm. The follow-up images are very well-suited and the manuscript is of high quality. However, the manuscript needs some revision before it becomes suitable for publication. Given below are my comments in no particular order: 1. "Present case got enough pathogens by POCS." This is very confusing to read - where did pathogens come from? 2. Kindly avoid needless abbreviations such as "6 mo".