

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 69448

**Title:** Epibulbar osseous choristoma: Two case reports

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05386374

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Iran

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-07-13

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-07-20 04:33

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-07-21 16:08

**Review time:** 1 Day and 11 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

- The keywords are recommended to be selected according to the related MeSH Terms in order to increase visibility. Also, remove “and” from the keywords. - Since the patients have recently been discharged and probably no follow-up session has been held yet, a brief description of the future follow-up plan could complete the “Outcome and follow-up” sections. - What were the limitations in the approach to these cases? - What are the authors’ recommendations for practice and future research in the field? The closing sentences of the discussion could provide further suggestions. - The “Core Tip” in the manuscript file is different from the one submitted online. - Please note that negative family history should be defined as “no history of familial diseases” or other similar sentences, rather than “no family history”.