

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 69368

Title: Clinical and epidemiological features of ulcerative colitis patients in Sardinia, Italy:

Results from a multicenter study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05844242

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-10 13:15

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-15 00:31

Review time: 4 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

While the disease phenotype and treatment characteristics were not significantly different from that reported in the other Italian and European cohorts, this work is well done. I appreciate the efforts put into this by the Author and co-authors of this publication. It was an exciting read. In addition to the extra work done by the authors and co-authors, I would like to suggest some changes needed. These changes will not affect the scientific interpretation of your results. But needs to be done before publishing. While I understand that this paper was written by those whose original language was not in English, I have highlighted in pdf some minor spelling mistakes, omission of some phrases, and possible spots where the phrases used might be changed to a synonym without changing their meaning. For instance, hemicolectomy was mistakenly spelled as emicolectomy (in the manuscripts and table drawn), inflammatory as inflammatoy. I have highlighted all of them in yellow and will upload them. Also, some articles like a, the or prepositions were omitted in haste. I have highlighted those places and I will upload the pdf. As I have said this will not affect the scientific result but would be good if the changes were made before final publishing.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 69368

Title: Clinical and epidemiological features of ulcerative colitis patients in Sardinia, Italy:

Results from a multicenter study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00038617

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-09 22:35

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-19 15:36

Review time: 9 Days and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study, the authors conducted multicenter, retrospective, observational study to reveal clinical and epidemiological features of adult patients diagnosed with ulcerative colitis in Sardinia, Italy. They analyzed 442 patients with UC. The main findings were phenotype, treatment, surgery rate and cancer incidence. According to their results, they concluded that this study provided important insights on the clinical and epidemiological features of patients with UC and represent eligible data of UC population in Sardinia. This study may certainly be useful data in Italy. However, many epidemiological studies, treatment results and carcinogenesis studies have already been conducted all over the world. The following additional investigations are required for this research to have its own value in Sardinia. Comments) (1) The number of patients is small as an epidemiological survey. (2) Survey contents should include race, genetic examination, smoking, drinking, education, etc. (3) Was this study performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki? Was the opt-out performed properly based on the ethical guidelines for observational studies? Please describe these firmly. (4) The methods should be described separately for each item. (5) There are many misspellings of words, so proofreading is required.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases Manuscript NO: 69368 Title: Clinical and epidemiological features of ulcerative colitis patients in Sardinia, Italy: Results from a multicenter study Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind **Reviewer's code:** 05844242 **Position:** Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD Professional title: Doctor Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States Author's Country/Territory: Italy Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-09 Reviewer chosen by: Kai-Le Chang Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-16 02:08 Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-16 12:54

Review time: 10 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is awesome and the effort of the authors are commendable. It is also of note that the writers ain't native english speakers, nonetheless the work is superb. I would only recommend that the authors engage native english speakers and writers to assist in a few re-wording, re-phrasing and spelling checks e.g inflamatoy(instead of inflammatory etc). Furthermore, we all know that no article is error-proof but the lesser the errors are; the smoother the flows. I would have loved to offer this help, however I have a full plate at the moment.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases Manuscript NO: 69368

Title: Clinical and epidemiological features of ulcerative colitis patients in Sardinia, Italy:

Results from a multicenter study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00038617

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-09

Reviewer chosen by: Kai-Le Chang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-16 04:08

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-02 01:04

Review time: 16 Days and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study, the authors conducted multicenter, retrospective, observational study to reveal clinical and epidemiological features of adult patients diagnosed with ulcerative colitis in Sardinia, Italy. In my previous review comments, I suggested that the following improvements were needed in the lack of academic novelty in this paper. (1) The number of patients is small as an epidemiological survey. ---Improvements to this comment are difficult. Therefore, the quality of this paper cannot be improved. (2) Survey contents should include race, genetic examination, smoking, drinking, education, etc. --- The authors declined to improve their manuscript according to this comment. (3) Was this study performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki? Please describe these firmly. ---The authors revised according to this comment. (4) The methods should be described separately for each item. ---The authors revised according to this comment. (5) There are many misspellings of words, so proofreading is required. ---The following mistakes were found again. In Abstract; most of wich \rightarrow most of witch In Key Words; Inflammatoy \rightarrow Inflammatory In INTRODUCTION; a correct valutation \rightarrow a correct valuation In RESULTS; antiTNF $\alpha \rightarrow$ anti-TNF- α In DISCUSSION; The analysis of clinical characteristics revelead \rightarrow ---- revealed In DISCUSSION; heathcare \rightarrow healthcare In DISCUSSION; the results of an Italian study conducted by Taborelli et al wich \rightarrow ---- witch In DISCUSSION; colonscopy \rightarrow colonoscopy In CONCLUSION; our results represent elgible features \rightarrow ---- eligible features