

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 79080

Title: Transcatheter arterial embolization for traumatic injury to the pharyngeal branch of the ascending pharyngeal artery: two case reports

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05077783

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-06 09:10

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-12 09:36

Review time: 6 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors report two cases of transcatheter arterial embolization (TAE) for the treatment of traumatic injuries to a branch of the ascending pharyngeal artery. The cases are interesting, describing rare injuries, and are illustrated by high-quality images. However, the introduction and discussion sections do not offer sufficient context for the reader to appreciate the relevance of the cases described. For example, how common is this type of lesion, and what are the alternatives for treatment other than TAE? What are the major risks and benefits associated with the described treatment, when compared to other therapeutical options? Writing in the english language also needs to be reviewed. For example: the title could more appropriately be "Successful treatment of traumatic injury to the pharyngeal branch of the ascending pharyngeal artery using transcatheter arterial embolization /two case reports"; Page 3 Line 29: "demonstrated a complex"; Page 3 Line 31: "demonstrated a irregularity in the third portion"; Page 8 Line 7: "response to infusion was good". A more detailed description of the facial fractures suffered by the patient in Case 2 would be interesting.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 79080

Title: Transcatheter arterial embolization for traumatic injury to the pharyngeal branch of the ascending pharyngeal artery: two case reports

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06360634

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Bulgaria

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-23 19:19

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-28 20:14

Review time: 5 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

First of all, the article is very interesting, innovative and very well illustrated by the images from the radiological studies. I have only a few comments: 1. The article's title is a little confusing- maybe try to shorten or re-arrange. 2. In the full text- Case 1 presentation- History of present illness- the authors should re-assess if it is necessary to clarify that the patient was discharged after only 1 night observation. 3. Avoid repetitions. 4. Use the proper coding system for the references according Format for references guidelines 5. The authors may try to cite more similar cases in the discussion. 6. The conclusion is too simplified