

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77766

Title: Comprehensive Analysis of the relationship between cuproptosis-related genes and esophageal cancer prognosis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03806663

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-03 10:05

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-03 14:40

Review time: 4 Hours

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish |
| Language quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| Re-review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous |
| | Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1-This is an interesting article. The topic is novel. The inclusion of cuproptosis in this study is important, but more studies are needed to prove the role of these genes in esophageal cancer and also other cancers. It is also difficult how to link these genes to the most common types of esophageal cancers either squamous or adenocarcinomatous.

2- language editing is needed.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77766

Title: Comprehensive Analysis of the relationship between cuproptosis-related genes and esophageal cancer prognosis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03478004

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-16 03:04

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-19 03:11

Review time: 3 Days

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish |
| Language quality | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| Re-review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No |
|-------------------------------------|---|

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors analyzed the relationship between cuproptosis-related genes and esophageal cancer prognosis. The results are of interest to the reader. However, I have a question about the statistical method in Table 2. Why not use Cox proportional hazards models to compare clinicopathological characteristics and survival outcomes? And there are some minor points. P8, L9-10: “receiver operating characteristic” has already appeared on P7 L14 and is abbreviated as ROC. Table1: Table 1 mentions DFS. If this isn't a mistake, how did you define DFS, especially for stage IV cancer? P9, L23: “regression” is duplicated. P12, L5-16: The first paragraph of the Discussion session can be moved to the Introduction session.