

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 79117

**Title:** Circulating Angiotensin Converting Enzyme 2 and COVID-19

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05401900

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Iran

**Author's Country/Territory:** Thailand

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-08-04

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-08-04 08:17

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-08-04 21:15

**Review time:** 12 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

**statements**Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ **Y** ] No**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Thank you very much for submitting this article But unfortunately this manuscript is not well organized at all and does not follow a clear flow. There are no standards for writing mini-review articles. The scattering of unnecessary content is too much. The main focus should be on ACE2 from the point of view of the covid disease. While most of the focus is on ACE2. And even the material presented about ACE2 from the point of view of the covid disease is very irregular and confused and there is no coherence in the presentation of the material. So that the presented content is more like the original articles.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 79117

**Title:** Circulating Angiotensin Converting Enzyme 2 and COVID-19

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 02981504

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MA

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Thailand

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-08-04

**Reviewer chosen by:** Dong-Mei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-09-23 14:33

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-09-23 23:09

**Review time:** 8 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

I reviewed the article entitled "Circulating Angiotensin Converting Enzyme 2 and COVID-19". I found the article very interesting . However, I have some minor comments before the acceptance of article. --minor comments; The manuscript devotes a large number of paragraphs to the current findings,it would have been better if it had been simpler.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 79117

**Title:** Circulating Angiotensin Converting Enzyme 2 and COVID-19

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05506752

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Associate Chief Physician, Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Thailand

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-08-04

**Reviewer chosen by:** Dong-Mei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-09-27 03:29

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-10-05 12:50

**Review time:** 8 Days and 9 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The aim of this review is to investigate how the presence of SARS-CoV-2 and cACE2 is related and potential therapeutic strategies targeting the ACE/ACE-2 axis. However, the effect of systemic administration of hrsACE2 on clinical outcomes of COVID-19 has not been explained. It is recommended to cite relevant literature and expand relevant discussions in this paper. 2. Significant digits. The general rule is that no more non-zero digits should be given than are justified by the uncertainty of the value. See "Too many digits: the presentation of numerical data" <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4483789/> If the uncertainty is greater than about 7%, only two non-zero digits are justified. P values should be given to two decimal places unless the first two are 00 or the number lies between 0.045 and 0.054. P values should be adjusted.