



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 80579

Title: Regression of gastric endoscopic submucosal dissection induced polypoid nodular scar after *Helicobacter pylori* eradication: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06391702

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-05 08:58

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-05 09:48

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Use abbreviations sparingly in the text, and spell them out the first time you use them. Abbreviations used in tables should be spelled out at the bottom of the table. 2. The last picture is unlabeled, please check it. 3. There are so many language errors, please correct them carefully.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 80579

Title: Regression of gastric endoscopic submucosal dissection induced polypoid nodular scar after *Helicobacter pylori* eradication: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03026925

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-06 10:21

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-09 11:13

Review time: 3 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present a case of PNS at an ESD site that was reduced by Hp eradication, and although the cause of the PNS remains undetermined, it represents one possible association with eradication therapy. Comments are provided below. 1 In the Case report section, does "Lt" mean Left? It should not be abbreviated in its first use. 2 After ESD, antacids agents, including PPIs, should be clearly indicated, for how long, in what doses, and for how long. This is because PNS and acid levels may be involved. 3 Was the patient taking any oral steroids? If so, they may have prolonged the healing of the ulcer and may have influenced the course of development of the PNS in this case. 4 One of the causes of PNS may be hyperplasia of the gastric mucosa along with hyperacidity, but were serum gastrin levels measured? 5 It is expected that acid levels to recover with eradication, but is it possible that the resulting hyperacidity of the stomach could affect the increase in PNS? This needs to be discussed.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 80579

Title: Regression of gastric endoscopic submucosal dissection induced polypoid nodular scar after *Helicobacter pylori* eradication: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03267595

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: 博士

Professional title: 教授

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-10 14:13

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-17 12:43

Review time: 6 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article provides an alternative treatment option for PNS patients who are positive for H. pylori infection. And the eradication of H. pylori seems to have had good results. But there are still some minor problems. 1. According to ARANTES et al[1], PPI, which is very commonly used after ESD, may be one of the causes of PNS because of its role in promoting the proliferation of gastric mucosa fossa epithelium and granulation tissue formation. What is the medication history of PPI for this patient during the follow-up after ESD? 2. It is necessary to exclude some malignant lesions and tumor recurrence before diagnosing PNS. In this article, the author described the pathological manifestations of this patient's biopsy, the pathological images should be provided. 3. "A" and "B" in Figure 3 are not indicated. [1]ARANTES V, UEDO N. Criteria to characterize polypoid nodule scar after gastric endoscopic submucosal dissection in order to differentiate it from tumor recurrence[J]. Turk J Gastroenterol, 2021, 32(1): 106-107.