

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 78889

**Title:** Effectiveness of microwave endometrial ablation combined with hysteroscopic transcervical resection in treating submucous uterine myomas

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 00070509

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** South Korea

**Author's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-08-03

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-08-04 01:25

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-08-08 04:44

**Review time:** 4 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Thank you for the opportunity to review this paper. I think this is an interesting manuscript entitled "Effectiveness of microwave endometrial ablation combined with hysteroscopic transcervical resection in treating submucous uterine myomas." The manuscript deals with a worthwhile topic and has scientific interest. It is well written and results sound. I have only minor revisions to suggest. The authors used the terms 'uterine myoma' and 'uterine fibroid' alternatively. It could be confused. Please select and use a single term, or give an alternative term in parenthesis at first.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 78889

**Title:** Effectiveness of microwave endometrial ablation combined with hysteroscopic transcervical resection in treating submucous uterine myomas

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 04153245

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** BM BCh, MD, MSc, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Egypt

**Author's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-08-03

**Reviewer chosen by:** Dong-Mei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-09-23 14:26

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-09-23 16:00

**Review time:** 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

You mentioned "Cervical dilatation was performed on the day before surgery"; Please, clarify in discussion why Cervical dilatation was performed on the day before surgery and not performed at the same sitting? Also, clarify in results whether MEA destroys the functional layers of endometrium completely or not? You may add figures for photographs of histopathology done. What about fertility following the procedures? Please, clarify. Also, limitations of study should be added. This include: - No obstetric history was taken. No causes for hypermenorrhea have been mentioned. Some authors stated that neglected intrauterine device may cause increased incidence of intrauterine infections and subsequent menorrhagia (Hegazy et al, 2021). Hegazy RA, Hegazy AA, Etman WM. Tubo-ovarian actinomycosis in perimenopausal woman with neglected intrauterine device: A case report and review of literature. J Medical Case Repo. 2021;3(4):1-5. References are little. Please, add more studies in your discussion.