PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous

statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author not only analysed the previous cases of Biliary adenofibroma, but also the specificity of this case,I think it is   very good.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 70728

Title: Imaging presentation of biliary adenofibroma: A case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05088164

Position: Associate Editor

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-15

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-26 19:21

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-26 21:10

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous

statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper present a case of biliary adenofibroma with emphasis on imaging presentation and then discussed other cases reported in the literature. There are improvements that need to be made before publishing. - the abstract should not include references citations - all the abbreviated words should be explained at their first use - the tables with timeline should not be inserted there, but explained in the text inside the paper; correct the month - is March not mrach - at the final diagnosis I would not repeat that this tumor is benign as it was already explained before in the paper - better not to repeat information in Introduction and Discussion (see Tsui et al describing the first time the biliary fibradenoma) - the list of references should be verified and corrected to follow the journal rules - the grammar and punctuation should be improved