

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 72157

Title: Endoscopic clipping for the secondary prophylaxis of bleeding gastric varices in a patient with cirrhosis: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05524138

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Consultant Physician-Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Kazakhstan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-06

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-14 14:47

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-14 15:04

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

An interesting case, I agree with the authors that this method of preventing bleeding from varices of the stomach is very cost-effective, but requires further detailed study on a larger number of patients.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 72157

Title: Endoscopic clipping for the secondary prophylaxis of bleeding gastric varices in a patient with cirrhosis: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05928732

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-06

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-15 04:15

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-22 09:53

Review time: 7 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors report a case of endoscopic clipping for the secondary prophylaxis of bleeding gastric varices in a patient with cirrhosis. The report is beneficial and innovative. However question remains whether there are any ethical issues. Other concerns are as follows:

Major comments

#1 In introduction, the authors describe that EVL has not been widely in GOV. However, EVL is the common treatment for esophageal varices. It is desirable to have the separate description of esophageal varices and gastric varices.

#2 The first endoscopy was performed on day 4. It is necessary to clearly state why the endoscopy was delayed for the patient with suspected variceal bleeding.

#3 The authors describes that this study was approved by the ethics review board. However there is no description about the study design.

#4 Detailed description such as the type of clip to be used (angle, length), targeting method, clipping order, etc. is required.

#5 GOV and liver function are closely related. It is needed to be stated whether the treatment affected liver function.

Minor comments

#1 (page 3, line12)The definition of Type 1 GOV is needed to be described for the readers unfamiliar with this disease.

#2 (page 4) Laboratory test results need to be summarized in a table for readers to see.

#3 (page 5, line 3)The expression '5 unit' is not suitable because the transfusion unit varies from country to country.

#4 (figure 1, 2) In case that the progress is evaluated by CT and endoscopy, it is necessary to present the parts to be compared side by side so that they can be easily compared. Figures without significant findings are not necessary. In addition, figure legend (f-j) is mistaken. It is not for CT but for endoscope.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 72157

Title: Endoscopic clipping for the secondary prophylaxis of bleeding gastric varices in a patient with cirrhosis: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05928732

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-06

Reviewer chosen by: Ji-Hong Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-05 08:55

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-20 02:05

Review time: 14 Days and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript has been much improved and I think it is acceptable.