

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 63822

Title: Management of procedural pain in the intensive care unit

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02489089

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: BSc, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Austria

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-03

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-20 08:54

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-20 14:42

Review time: 5 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors! Thanks a lot for your article which I have the pleasure to review. The topic you are looking for is one of the interesting and important topics in pain management at ICU's. The title of your article reflects the main subject of your manuscript. The abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript, the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. The purpose of the article is clearly described. The manuscript describes adequately the backround and significance of the sreview. In the same way the article describes the method (review) and the classification of procedural pain in the ICU. The manuscript interprets the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically. Literature is reviewd in a correct manner and without any claim. There is only a short but nevertheless an appropriate conclusion of the article. Figures 1 - 3 are sufficient, but in my opinion not really necessary for the article. Tables 1 - 4 are sufficient, in good quality and they appropriately illustrative of the paper in a good way. Legends of the tables are appropriate. The manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections. In total the manuscript is well, concisely and coherently organized and presented. The style, language and grammar is ccurate and appropriate. The authors did prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting. Ethical clearence is missing but is not necessary for that kind of literatur review. The manuscript meet the requirements of ethics in research.