

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 70892

Title: Cavernous hemangioma of an intrapancreatic accessory spleen mimicking a

pancreatic tumor: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05910218 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-26 00:34

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-07 01:01

**Review time:** 12 Days

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Nicely written manuscript. IPAS is a rare entity with Laparoscopic management being carried out a very few centers. Intraoperative photographs could have been added to the manuscript.



### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 70892

Title: Cavernous hemangioma of an intrapancreatic accessory spleen mimicking a

pancreatic tumor: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05455405 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-27 06:44

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-01 09:03

**Review time:** 4 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No



# **Baishideng** Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The presented clinical case is interesting. Modern methods of examination were used, minimally invasive surgery was performed. 1 Title. Fully reflects the content of the article. 2 Abstract. The text is missing, the authors need to add it. 3 Key words. They are missing, the authors need to add them. 4 Background. The text is missing, the authors need to add it. 5 Methods and 6 Results: a therapeutic and diagnostic algorithm is described, an approach to the differential diagnosis of pancreatic formations is given. 7 Discussion. The discussion is written in a meaningful way, a summary table is given reflecting the main approaches in the differential diagnosis of pancreatic formations. But the absence of a list of references does not allow us to determine the relevance of the sources used in this manuscript. 8 Illustrations and tables. Figures, diagrams and tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper 9 Biostatistics. Not required. 10 Units. The manuscript meet the requirements of use of SI units. 11 References. They are missing, the authors need to add them. 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. The content of the article is satisfactory, the volume of the examination and treatment of the patient, as well as their descriptions are sufficient, but the manuscript needs to be finalized in a number of sections according to CARE Checklist (2016) - Case report. 13 Research methods and reporting. Authors have prepared their manuscripts according to CARE Checklist (2016) - Case report, but some points were omitted in the submitted text, which requires revision of the manuscript. 14 Ethics statements. Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com



### RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 70892

Title: Cavernous hemangioma of an intrapancreatic accessory spleen mimicking a

pancreatic tumor: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05455405 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-25

**Reviewer chosen by:** Han Zhang (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-28 17:44

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-29 09:22

**Review time:** 15 Hours

Scientific quality	[ Y] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [ Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors made changes that made it possible to improve the article. A rare clinical observation that will potentially be of interest to a large audience of clinicians.