

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 70053

**Title:** Retroperitoneal congenital epidermoid cyst misdiagnosed as a solid pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas: a case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05128663

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** BSc, MD, MSc, PhD

**Professional title:** Academic Research, Attending Doctor, Postdoc, Research Associate, Research Fellow

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Greece

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-07-24

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-07-28 16:51

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-08-01 07:30

**Review time:** 3 Days and 14 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection

<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments to the authors The article with the title “retroperitoneal congenital epidermoid cyst misdiagnosed as a solid pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas: a case report” is in generally well done, but I would offer these comments to the investigators:

- 1) Several words throughout the manuscript appear to be merged. Please correct it.
- 2) Some grammatical errors occur. The manuscript contains significant language-related issues. Please correct these types of grammatical errors throughout the paper.
- 3) Introduction: “Retroperitoneal epidermoid cysts are a rare disease....” Please add the incidence in the general population. “Rare disease” is not enough.
- 4) The reference list is quite old. Authors should focus on recent papers and papers older than three years should except for an overriding purpose
- 5) The authors mentioned that “Postoperative pathology showed an epidermoid cyst with infection”. Please explain what kind of bacteria was detected”. Did this infection affect the postoperative patient’s course?

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 70053

**Title:** Retroperitoneal congenital epidermoid cyst misdiagnosed as a solid pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas: a case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05776522

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Attending Doctor, Doctor, Staff Physician

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** United States

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-07-24

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-07-27 14:30

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-08-02 12:57

**Review time:** 5 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors have successfully described an interesting case of Retroperitoneal Congenital Epidermoid Cyst misdiagnosed as a Solid Pseudopapillary Tumor of the pancreas. Overall, the case report is well written, but is in need of some grammatical and language polishing.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 70053

**Title:** Retroperitoneal congenital epidermoid cyst misdiagnosed as a solid pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas: a case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05227022

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor, Attending Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** United States

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-07-24

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-07-26 01:05

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-08-04 17:45

**Review time:** 9 Days and 16 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Excellent and interesting case of quite a rare disease. Excellent review of epidermoid cysts, and the uniqueness of this case is one of this manuscript's strengths. There are minor grammatical errors, for instance: 1) Abstract Case Summary: "although imaging examination indicated that the mass probably originated from the pancreas, and it was considered a solid pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas (SPTP), the surgery revealed...". Consider changing to : "Because imaging indicated that the mass probably originated from the pancreas, it was considered a solid pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas (SPTP). However, the surgery revealed..."