

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 72415

Title: Cystic teratoma of the parotid gland: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06124644

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-15

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-15 08:30

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-15 15:16

Review time: 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [☐] Yes [☒] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author, You find a very rare case to share. It was challenging to find other 9 cases your report will help other surgeons to keep in their minds that it is possible to find teratoma cyst in parotid gland. Imaging and procedure are all the same as in guidelines. Outcome is great.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 72415

Title: Cystic teratoma of the parotid gland: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05914645

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Netherlands

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-15

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-15 09:26

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-15 16:09

Review time: 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Title reflects main subject of manuscript 2. Abstract summarizes and reflects work done 3. The keywords reflect the focus of the case report 4. Authors provided adequate background 5. The case reports a relative rare condition so adds to the existing reports 6. No methods used as this is a case report 7. The case report adds to the body of literature about parotid teratoma 8. The case report provides good illustrative CT scan and a table that reviews cases in literature 9. No biostatistics needed in this case report 10. Appropriate SI units were used 11. References are adequate 12. Quality of manuscript is good may need some modification of some phrases 13. Manuscript prepared according to CARE checklist and certificate attached The patient informed consent has been attached The authors present a case report of extragonadal teratoma (of the parotid gland). Teratoma of the parotid gland is rare so the authors also did a review of the other reported 9 cases. The authors present the preoperative findings especially CT and MRI to help preoperative diagnosis which need to be confirmed on histology. They also describe some epidemiological and clinical findings of the other few reported cases which was reviewed to help in the diagnosis in such cases. The authors present the case and the histologic, surgical and pathologic findings of the case into much detail. Suggestions 1. Please consider replacing the phrase 'parotid gland deep lobe' with the 'deep lobe of the parotid gland' where ever it occur in the abstract or main manuscript. 2. Please consider either removing the word 'complete' or replacing it with 'combine' in the phrase 'was diagnosed based on complete preoperative imaging CT and MRI data' in the abstract and main manuscript

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 72415

Title: Cystic teratoma of the parotid gland: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05631502

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: BSc, MBBS, MD

Professional title: Academic Research, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Qatar

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-15

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-15 08:51

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-15 19:51

Review time: 11 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I thank the authors to have presented this valuable case report with review of literature. It is well written and I have only minor comments which I suggest will improve the quality of this publication. Major comments: None Minor comments: Although authors have done a good job in literature search, I have found a few more relevant cases which can be added. I suggest adding them to increase the quality of this literature review. They are cited in my review report [Moguilevsky et al., 1955, Daghfous et al., 1987, Aynehchi et al., 2010]. English language: There are frequent English language mistakes. There are grammar as well as sentence structure mistakes. I recommend it to be reviewed by a native English speaker. References: Aynehchi, B., Newman, J.G., and Brooks, J.S. (2010) Dermoid Cyst of the Parotid Gland. Ear Nose Throat J 89: E4-6. Daghfous, M.H., Ellouze, M., Zermani, R., Laouiti, M., Oueslati, B., Touibi, S. et al. (1987) [Teratoma of the Parotid Region: An Uncommon Disease. Apropos of a Case with Review of the Literature]. J Radiol 68: 789-792. Moguilevsky, L., Bur, G.E., and Salvatti, E. (1955) [Teratoma of the Parotid]. Rev Asoc Med Argent 69: 397-399.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 72415

Title: Cystic teratoma of the parotid gland: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05506838

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-15

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-15 09:33

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-26 07:12

Review time: 10 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors described thier experience with a rare teratoma of the parotid gland in an adult patient, apparently focusing attention on the importance of CT and MRI as diagnostic tools. In addition, they performed a review of the current literature. The abstract and Core tip do not clearly explain the specific purpose and main findings of this paper. The manuscript is confusing, the data are sometimes incomplete or not adequately reported, and adherence to the CARE guidelines was only partially respected. In particular, the role of CT and MRI as diagnostic tolls for this specific disease is not well-explained throughout the text and i believe that it should also be investigated in the literature review. Finally, the english Language quality of the manuscript should be improved overall. In conclusion, I believe that this paper in its current form is not suitable for publication and that a major revision is required.