

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 73114

Title: Upper gastrointestinal bleeding from a Mallory-Weiss tear associated with transesophageal echocardiography during successful cardiopulmonary resuscitation: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06183516

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Syria

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-10 07:53

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-16 15:18

Review time: 6 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="radio"/> Grade C: Good <input type="radio"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="radio"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="radio"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="radio"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="radio"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="radio"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="radio"/> Minor revision <input type="radio"/> Major revision <input type="radio"/> Rejection



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Re-review	[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Manuscript NO: 73114 Thank you all for these professional efforts (management of the patient situation and perfect academic writing).

===== My Only

Comment: * The chief complaint was very detailed, therefore I suggest to mention only the main symptom of the patient (eg. A 59-year-old man suffered from upper gastrointestinal bleeding after successful cardiopulmonary resuscitation using transesophageal echocardiography) Best regards

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 73114

Title: Upper gastrointestinal bleeding from a Mallory-Weiss tear associated with transesophageal echocardiography during successful cardiopulmonary resuscitation: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05098925

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor, Lecturer, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-02 06:10

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-06 14:43

Review time: 4 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection

Re-review	[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Upper gastrointestinal bleeding from a Mallory-Weiss tear associated with transesophageal echocardiography during successful cardiopulmonary resuscitation: A case report Authors reported the rare case of Mallory-Weiss tear (MWT) after transesophageal echocardiograph (TEE). The authors prepared the manuscript well, but there are a few areas where additional information would enhance the manuscript. 1. Please provide the normal ranges of described laboratory (such as Hemoglobin). 2. Because the MWT (according to the figure 2) was located at EG junction and the postulated reasons of MWT development base on the position of TEE must be at or beyond the esophagogastric (EG junction) junction. To convince the reader, author should mention whether anesthesia fellow who proceed TEE performed transgastric view or any manipulation of TEE beyond the esophagogastric junction or not. 3. As authors described that "Endoscopy a week later revealed excellent mucosal healing. He achieved a satisfied recovery without any evidence of further upper gastrointestinal bleeding" in the "OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP" section. It would be more impressive if the author demonstrated the picture of endoscopic finding at the follow up period.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 73114

Title: Upper gastrointestinal bleeding from a Mallory-Weiss tear associated with transesophageal echocardiography during successful cardiopulmonary resuscitation: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03666697

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-05 05:15

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-12 10:23

Review time: 7 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection

Re-review	[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors reported a case of Mallory-Weiss tear with bleeding after cardiopulmonary resuscitation, TEE, and percutaneous cardiopulmonary bypass resuscitation. The manuscript is well written. However, there are several comments to the authors. 1. In the chief complaints session, “the patient was transferred from the operating room to intensive care unit (ICU) in stable condition. But the patient suffered from a sudden onset of upper gastrointestinal bleeding.” How long is the time between the patient's transfer to the ICU and the onset of gastrointestinal bleeding? 2. Since the patient had upper gastrointestinal bleeding, can the authors explain why the patient presented with hematochezia rather than hematemesis? Since the Mallory-Weiss tear was not active bleeding during UGI endoscopy, did the patient have bleeders other than Mallory-Weiss tear? Did the doctor who performed the TEE notice bloodstains on the TEE probe when withdrawing the instrument? 3. The quality of the endoscopic picture (Figure 2) is suboptimal. Can the author provide another high-quality image?

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 73114

Title: Upper gastrointestinal bleeding from a Mallory-Weiss tear associated with transesophageal echocardiography during successful cardiopulmonary resuscitation: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05098925

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor, Lecturer, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-09

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-05 12:05

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-05 12:24

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for editing the manuscript and resubmitting. The changes have strengthened the work. Now, I project decision as accept for publication. Hope this case report will contribute to the safety of TEE during CPR.