

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 73318

Title: Occult colon cancer with sepsis as the primary manifestation identified by bone

marrow puncture: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05755618

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACP, MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-28 02:34

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-30 12:39

Review time: 2 Days and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this article, the authors presented a case report of the bone marrow metastasis of colon cancer with sepsis as the primary manifestation. It is an interesting article. However, there are some concerns about this article. 1. The authors explain that the thrombocytopenia reflects the etiology of sepsis. However, the fundamental relationships of bone mallow metastasis and sepsis are unclear, which is the weak point in this article.2. Mini abstract is to be Core Tips. 3. Figure1 and 2 are missing.4. The references are small and not updated. 5. The authors explain that they overlooked the CT findings. Please present CT findings as Figure with arrows.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 73318

Title: Occult colon cancer with sepsis as the primary manifestation identified by bone

marrow puncture: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05402068

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-12 17:11

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-12 17:15

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority)       [ ] Accept (General priority)         [ Y] Minor revision       [ ] Major revision       [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please upload the figures! Please polish English.

## **RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT**

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 73318

Title: Occult colon cancer with sepsis as the primary manifestation identified by bone

marrow puncture: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05755618

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACP, MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-21

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-05 10:07

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-05 18:22

Review time: 8 Hours

Scientific quality

[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good
[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish



Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is well revised, and no particular comments are needed.