

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 80608

**Title:** Completion of 6-month Isoniazid Preventive Treatment among eligible under Six Children: A cross-sectional study, Lagos, Nigeria

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 04168227

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** BPhy, DPhil, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Saudi Arabia

**Author's Country/Territory:** Nigeria

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-10-06

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-10-07 05:24

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-10-07 05:34

**Review time:** 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Thank you for giving me this opportunity to review this article. The thesis is well written, though I have some serious concerns regarding the article. Title: It should be self-explanatory and clear. Keywords: use mesh keywords Abstract: 1. Mention the study setting. 2. Include the character of the study participants. 3. Include all the study outcome measures. 4. The conclusion should be drawn on the basis of the study reports, not on the assumption. Manuscript 1. The novelty of the study is missing, including more recent references emphasizing the need for this study. 2.

Include the character of the study participants in detail. 3. Include the reference study for sample size calculation. 4. The results part was not presented in detail with all information. 5. The conclusion should be more concise and self-explanatory and drawn on the basis of study reports.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 80608

**Title:** Completion of 6-month Isoniazid Preventive Treatment among eligible under Six Children: A cross-sectional study, Lagos, Nigeria

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03340662

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MBBS, MD

**Professional title:** Doctor, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** Nigeria

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-10-06

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-10-15 16:09

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-10-23 17:48

**Review time:** 8 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The title is appropriate. The article contains good information from the region which is helpful for the policy makers. The abstract reflects the study contents The introduction is satisfactory but repetitions should be avoided. The objectives are clear. Methodology is mostly well explained. Results are acceptable. However, majority of all INH losses occurred within the first 2 months of treatment is quite scary. More explanation in this regard is needed in the discussion. Please add more information on measures to improve the treatment compliance.