

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 79585

Title: Perforation of levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system found at one month after Insertion: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02445547

Position: Associate Editor

Academic degree: DNB, FEBS, FICS, FRCS (Gen Surg), MBBS, MNAMS

Professional title: Associate Professor, Director, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Singapore

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-28 22:54

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-07 07:01

Review time: 8 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. I read with interest the IUD perforation case of levonorgestrel-containing device and as the authors have mentioned, this is a fairly well-known risk, and the complication risk is about 1-2%. 2. The authors have mentioned the dates of patient investigation, treatment etc. With date, age of patient, gender and hospital name - actually we can identify the patient. This is against the patient privacy and confidentiality. So please remove the dates. 3. I would want authors to mention some blood tests for patient and some vital charts. She had pain. What about tachycardia, total white cell count? other parameters? Was she in sepsis or septic shock? 4. Was the surgery done electively? or emergency? 5. More important matter that is missing is the original intent on contraception. Now that you have removed IUD, what mode of contraception does the patient have right now? In future will she be eligible for another round of IUD insertion? If so what type of IUD will be advisable? 6. Was the IUD inserted by a certified doctor or a trainee? If this was a trainee, was this under supervision? If this was supervised, was it direct supervision or indirect supervision? If this was indirect supervision what kind of indirect supervision was this? 7. What did the doctor explain to patient about complication? Was the patient unhappy or accepting of this problem? Thanks Vishal

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 79585

Title: Perforation of levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system found at one month after Insertion: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04153245

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: BM BCh, MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-30

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-30 03:14

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-30 06:26

Review time: 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please, use the abbreviation "(LNG-IUS)" of this "levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system" at the first appearance in the text "in Abstract", then use the abbreviation thereafter throughout the text. Please, re-check and change. Also, add the abbreviation "MRI" following "magnetic resonance imaging" at first appearance "in Abstract", then use abbreviation instead the full-term thereafter throughout the text. Introduction appears very short and without references. Please, add reference to the current text; and also increase it slightly by mentioning the complications of intrauterine device in general. You may add infection as a possible complication from the following reference: "Hegazy RA, Hegazy AA, Etman WM. Bilateral Tubo-ovarian actinomycosis in perimenopausal woman with neglected intrauterine device: A case report and review of literature. J Medical Case Repo. 2021;3(4):1-5." In the discussion, you could add that the repositioned uterus may be a potential cause of uterine perforation upon insertion of the device; Therefore, the doctor should be careful in these cases. Figure 2 Legend should include Legend for figure A & B with adding symbols to figure with clarification in legend.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 79585

Title: Perforation of levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system found at one month after Insertion: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04153245

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: BM BCh, MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-30

Reviewer chosen by: Ji-Hong Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-06 07:13

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-06 07:54

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The verbs in the Figure Legends can be changed to the present instead of the past. In Physical examination, these words "Trimanual examination" may be changed to "Bimanual examination". In FINAL DIAGNOSIS, these words "Intrauterine device malposition" may be changed to "Extrauterine device malposition". In TREATMENT, these words "the surrounding tissue" may be changed to "the surrounding tissues". In DISCUSSION, please, add a reference for the first paragraph.