

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 79272

Title: Aicardi-Goutières syndrome type 7 in a Chinese child: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05811191 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-13

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-19 03:45

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-20 16:05

Review time: 1 Day and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript reported a 3-year-old girl with growth retardation, language retardation, brain atrophy, convulsions, and growth hormone deficiency, and the diagnosis of Aicardi–Goutières syndrome type 7 was established. The subject of the study is interesting, but there are some concerns about the manuscript: - There are still some spelling and grammatical errors, such as Backgound, or ".... achieved his goals by crying and shouting ..." - There are some unnecessary abbreviations in the text, such as: "Since age 6 mo", or "..... each lasting about 2 min" - In the Abstract, it was mentioned that the child was 5 years old, while in the text the age of the child at the presentation was 3 years old - The ethical issues and permission for reporting the patient's information are missing - The discussion is too short and the review of the similar studies is missing