

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 83383

Title: Different endodontic treatments induced root development of two nonvital

immature teeth in the same patient: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05199192 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Attending Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-01-20

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-20 02:49

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-29 02:03

Review time: 8 Days and 23 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The main complaint and current medical history in the case report are described in a disordered chronological order, such as "two days" first and "four months later" later. 2. In the treatment part of the case report, "After fully understanding the advantages—and disadvantages—of the two techniques, the patient chose pulp revascularization" (paragraph 5, page 3), the basis for the evaluation of the children's teeth and the advantages and disadvantages of the two treatment options could be specified. 3. The conclusion of Gabriel FN cited in the discussion section of this article (paragraph 2, page 9) may be better moved to the background section. 4. Arrows and other marks can be used in the pictures to point out the lesions or operation sites, which can make the article more readable.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 83383

Title: Different endodontic treatments induced root development of two nonvital

immature teeth in the same patient: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05235011 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MDS, MHSc

Professional title: Reader (Associate Professor)

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-01-20

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-08 14:10

Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-18 18:12

Review time: 10 Days and 4 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please check the comments in the manuscript