

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 82332

**Title:** Mediastinal lesions in children

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 06460048

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, MSc

**Professional title:** Doctor, Researcher

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Turkey

**Author's Country/Territory:** Turkey

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-12-15

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-12-22 09:01

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-12-22 13:25

**Review time:** 4 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments to the Author Dear Authors, You have in detail reviewed the mediastinal lesions in children according to their location in prevascular (anterior), visceral (middle), and paravertebral (posterior) spaces. The normal and abnormal mediastinal appearances in the pediatric population have been well presented with figures making it a pleasure to read. However, in my opinion, the manuscript needs an English revision. I have found some mistakes in the writing and construction of sentences. There are also some incomprehensible sentences, capitalization errors, comma errors, and typos in your article. You should seek support from a native English speaker or alternatively enlist the assistance of language polishing services before submitting your article. For example lines 5, 6: Lesions may be congenital or include infections, benign and malignant lesions, and vascular pathologies (1). This statement would sound clearly this way: Mediastinal masses may be caused by a wide variety of congenital, infectious, or vascular non-neoplastic and benign or malignant neoplastic pathologies. I have recommended some minor comments to improve the quality of the present paper: 1. Title: In my opinion, the title should contain the words imaging or imaging findings of mediastinal lesions in children. 2. I have highlighted with yellow the sentences in the uploaded manuscript that need to be redefined as I mentioned in the above example. The revision of these sentences will make the paper easier to follow and read and also will be useful, especially for pediatric radiology fellows. 3. The normal CT morphological appearance and size of the thymus were recently described in children aged between 0 and 18 years. Between lines 106-110 you can describe the normal size and CT attenuation values of the thymus explained in this study. It would be more clear to explain these terms here and you can also cite this study : Çolak E, Özkan B.



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgooffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgooffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Multidetector Computed Tomographic Evaluation of the Normal Characteristics of the Thymus in the Pediatric Population. Journal of the Belgian Society of Radiology. 2022; 106(1): 110, 1-8. Tables: • Table 2: Esophageal duplication cyst is written twice. Figures: • Please check Figure 13. This Figure is not representative of thymoma. • Figures 38 and 39 do not present the typic Bronchogenic cyst location. • Figures 40 and 41 are not mentioned in the Manuscript. • Figure 41 looks like an intrapulmonary bronchogenic cyst. Please add a saggital image that can be more representative of esophageal duplication cyst.

## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 82332

**Title:** Mediastinal lesions in children

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 06460048

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, MSc

**Professional title:** Doctor, Researcher

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Turkey

**Author's Country/Territory:** Turkey

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-12-15

**Reviewer chosen by:** Li Li

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-02-24 07:24

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-02-24 15:51

**Review time:** 8 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Dear Authors, I appreciate that all the recommended changes were successfully incorporated into the Manuscript making it a pleasure to read. The revised manuscript improved well and can be accepted.